

DV Qualifiers
in database

checked by JT
2/3/17

-HR

CERTIFICATION

SDG No: MC49206 Laboratory: Accutest, Massachusetts
Site: BMS, Building 5 Area, PR Matrix: Groundwater
Humacao, PR

SUMMARY: Groundwater samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – Building 5 Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were collected December 15 - 19, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Marlborough, Massachusetts that reported the data under SDG No.: MC49206. Results were validated using the following quality control criteria of the methods employed (MADEP VPH and MAPED EPH, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, 2004) and the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
MC49206-1	S-42D	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49206-2	S-43D	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49206-3	FB121516	AQ - Field Blank Water	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49206-4	S-31R (2)	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49206-4D	S-31R (2) MSD	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49206-4S	S-31R (2) MS	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49206-5	S-29R	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49206-6	S-30	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49206-7	S-38	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49206-8	MW-11	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49206-9	EB121616	AQ - Equipment Blank	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49206-10	FB121616	AQ - Field Blank Water	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49206-11	EB121916	AQ - Equipment Blank	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
MC49206-12	MW-9	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49206-13	MW-5	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges

Reviewer Name: Rafael Infante
Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Rafael Infante

Date:

January 21, 2017



SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	S-42D	Date Sampled:	12/15/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC49206-1	Date Received:	12/20/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP VPH REV 1.1		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	WX78442.D	1	12/22/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3885
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	20.7	50	8.8	ug/l	J
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	9.1	50	8.0	ug/l	JB
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	10.7	50	9.7	ug/l	JB
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	20.4	50	8.8	ug/l	J
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	79%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	93%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	S-42D	Date Sampled:	12/15/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC49206-1	Date Received:	12/20/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16615.D	1	01/04/17	TA	12/29/16	OP49337	GDE925
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	960 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	47.6	100	30	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	28	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	47.6	100	30	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	78%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	80%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	56%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	79%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-43D
 Lab Sample ID: MC49206-2
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water
 Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1
 Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/15/16
 Date Received: 12/20/16
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	WX78441.D	1	12/22/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3885
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	41.0	50	8.8	ug/l	J
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50.1	50	8.0	ug/l	B
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	27.6	50	9.7	ug/l	JB
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	29.3	50	8.8	ug/l	J
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	21.7	50	8.0	ug/l	J

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	80%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	94%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	S-43D	Date Sampled:	12/15/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC49206-2	Date Received:	12/20/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16616.D	1	01/04/17	TA	12/29/16	OP49337	GDE925
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	990 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	55.3	100	29	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	27	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	53.5	100	29	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	83%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	85%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	59%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	83%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	FB121516	Date Sampled:	12/15/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC49206-3	Date Received:	12/20/16
Matrix:	AQ - Field Blank Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP VPH REV 1.1		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	WX78447.D	1	12/23/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3885
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	10.5	50	9.7	ug/l	JB
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	78%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	91%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	FB121516	Date Sampled:	12/15/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC49206-3	Date Received:	12/20/16
Matrix:	AQ - Field Blank Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16617.D	1	01/04/17	TA	12/29/16	OP49337	GDE925
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	920 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	41.2	110	31	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	110	18	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	110	29	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	41.2	110	31	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	73%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	89%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	58%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	85%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	S-31R(2)	Date Sampled:	12/16/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC49206-4	Date Received:	12/20/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP VPH REV 1.1		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	WX78432.D	1	12/22/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3885
Run #2	WX78435.D	10	12/22/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3885

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	5.0 ml

Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	35.2	50	8.8	ug/l	J
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	7660 ^a	500	80	ug/l	
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	59.2	50	9.7	ug/l	B
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	24.2	50	8.8	ug/l	J
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	1890	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	87%	81%	70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	102%	95%	70-130%

(a) Result is from Run# 2



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	S-31R(2)	Date Sampled:	12/16/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC49206-4	Date Received:	12/20/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16618.D	1	01/04/17	TA	12/29/16	OP49337	GDE925
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	880 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	52.6	110	33	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	25.1	110	19	ug/l	J
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	110	31	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	48.9	110	33	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	88%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	90%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	61%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	88%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-29R
 Lab Sample ID: MC49206-5
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water
 Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1
 Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/16/16
 Date Received: 12/20/16
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	WX78444.D	1	12/22/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3885
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	13.9	50	8.8	ug/l	J
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	47.4	50	8.0	ug/l	JB
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	24.7	50	9.7	ug/l	JB
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	13.9	50	8.8	ug/l	J
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	21.7	50	8.0	ug/l	J

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	82%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	96%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-29R
 Lab Sample ID: MC49206-5
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water
 Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C
 Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/16/16
 Date Received: 12/20/16
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16619.D	1	01/04/17	TA	12/29/16	OP49337	GDE925
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	980 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	51.6	100	29	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	28	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	39.5	100	29	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	64%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	83%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	44%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	81%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-30
 Lab Sample ID: MC49206-6
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water
 Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1
 Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/16/16
 Date Received: 12/20/16
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	WX78445.D	1	12/23/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3885
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	12.4	50	8.8	ug/l	J
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	20.7	50	8.0	ug/l	JB
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	13.9	50	9.7	ug/l	JB
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	79%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	94%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-30
 Lab Sample ID: MC49206-6
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water
 Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/16/16
 Date Received: 12/20/16
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16620.D	1	01/04/17	TA	12/29/16	OP49337	GDE925
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	920 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	59.1	110	31	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	18.8	110	18	ug/l	J
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	110	29	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	58.7	110	31	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	88%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	82%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	62%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	80%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-38
 Lab Sample ID: MC49206-7
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water
 Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/16/16
 Date Received: 12/20/16
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	WX78443.D	1	12/22/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3885
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	12.0	50	9.7	ug/l	JB
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	80%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	93%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-38
 Lab Sample ID: MC49206-7
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water
 Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/16/16
 Date Received: 12/20/16
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16621.D	1	01/04/17	TA	12/29/16	OP49337	GDE925
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	980 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	41.3	100	29	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	28	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	41.3	100	29	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	100%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	90%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	63%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	89%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: MW-11
 Lab Sample ID: MC49206-8
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water
 Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1
 Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/16/16
 Date Received: 12/20/16
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	WX78446.D	1	12/23/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3885
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	9.9	50	8.8	ug/l	J
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	9.4	50	8.0	ug/l	JB
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	11.1	50	9.7	ug/l	JB
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	9.4	50	8.8	ug/l	J
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	79%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	92%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: MW-11
 Lab Sample ID: MC49206-8
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water
 Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/16/16
 Date Received: 12/20/16
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16623.D	1	01/04/17	TA	12/29/16	OP49337	GDE925
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	910 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	41.0	110	31	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	110	18	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	110	30	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	41.0	110	31	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	91%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	87%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	70%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	87%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: EB121616
 Lab Sample ID: MC49206-9
 Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank
 Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1
 Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/16/16
 Date Received: 12/20/16
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	WX78414.D	1	12/21/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3884
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	8.9	50	8.0	ug/l	JB
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	13.6	50	9.7	ug/l	JB
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	83%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	90%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	EB121616	Date Sampled:	12/16/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC49206-9	Date Received:	12/20/16
Matrix:	AQ - Equipment Blank	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16624.D	1	01/04/17	TA	12/29/16	OP49337	GDE925
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	920 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	42.7	110	31	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	110	18	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	110	29	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	42.7	110	31	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	93%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	93%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	58%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	93%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: FB121616
 Lab Sample ID: MC49206-10
 Matrix: AQ - Field Blank Water
 Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/16/16
 Date Received: 12/20/16
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	WX78415.D	1	12/21/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3884
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	11.9	50	9.7	ug/l	JB
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	85%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	92%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	FB121616	Date Sampled:	12/16/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC49206-10	Date Received:	12/20/16
Matrix:	AQ - Field Blank Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16625.D	1	01/04/17	TA	12/29/16	OP49337	GDE925
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	960 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	42.2	100	30	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	28	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	42.2	100	30	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	95%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	92%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	45%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	93%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: EB121916
 Lab Sample ID: MC49206-11
 Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank
 Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1
 Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/19/16
 Date Received: 12/20/16
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	WX78416.D	1	12/21/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3884
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	10.2	50	9.7	ug/l	JB
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	84%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	93%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	EB121916	Date Sampled:	12/19/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC49206-11	Date Received:	12/20/16
Matrix:	AQ - Equipment Blank	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16626.D	1	01/04/17	TA	12/29/16	OP49337	GDE925
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	970 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	41.8	100	30	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	28	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	41.8	100	30	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	88%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	86%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	44%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	88%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-9	Date Sampled:	12/19/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC49206-12	Date Received:	12/20/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP VPH REV 1.1		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	WX78413.D	1	12/21/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3884
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	12.1	50	9.7	ug/l	JB
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	82%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	91%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-9	Date Sampled:	12/19/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC49206-12	Date Received:	12/20/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16627.D	1	01/04/17	TA	12/29/16	OP49337	GDE925
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	960 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	45.0	100	30	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	28	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	45.0	100	30	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	93%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	89%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	43%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	90%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-5	Date Sampled:	12/19/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC49206-13	Date Received:	12/20/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP VPH REV 1.1		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	WX78440.D	1	12/22/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3885
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	30.4	50	8.8	ug/l	J
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	93.3	50	8.0	ug/l	B
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	23.8	50	9.7	ug/l	JB
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	24.2	50	8.8	ug/l	J
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	26.2	50	8.0	ug/l	J

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	81%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	94%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170819 09:23 05-Jan-2017

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-5	Date Sampled:	12/19/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC49206-13	Date Received:	12/20/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16628.D	1	01/04/17	TA	12/29/16	OP49337	GDE925
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	960 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	60.7	100	30	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	28	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	58.6	100	30	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	92%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	91%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	44%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	92%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Summary

Page 1 of 1

Job Number: MC49206

Account: AMANYWP Anderson Mulholland and Assoc.

Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Sample	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
MC49206-4MS	WX78433.D	1	12/22/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3885
MC49206-4MSD	WX78434.D	1	12/22/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3885
MC49206-4	WX78432.D	1	12/22/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3885
MC49206-4	WX78435.D	10	12/22/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GWX3885

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1

MC49206-1, MC49206-2, MC49206-3, MC49206-4, MC49206-5, MC49206-6, MC49206-7, MC49206-8, MC49206-13

CAS No.	Compound	MC49206-4 ug/l	Spike Q	MS ug/l	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	35.2	J	300	114	300	377	114	1	70-130/25
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	7660 ^b		450	1180* ^a	450	11900	1590* ^a	4	70-130/25
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	59.2	B	150	71	150	170	74	2	70-130/25

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	MS	MSD	MC49206-4	MC49206-4	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	86%	86%	87%	81%	70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	101%	100%	102%	95%	70-130%

(a) Outside control limits due to high level in sample relative to spike amount.

(b) Result is from Run #2.



* = Outside of Control Limits.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Summary

Page 1 of 1

Job Number: MC49206

Account: AMANYWP Anderson Mulholland and Assoc.

Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Sample	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
OP49337-MS	DE16613.D	1	01/04/17	TA	12/29/16	OP49337	GDE925
OP49337-MSD	DE16614.D	1	01/04/17	TA	12/29/16	OP49337	GDE925
MC49206-4	DE16618.D	1	01/04/17	TA	12/29/16	OP49337	GDE925

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1

MC49206-1, MC49206-2, MC49206-3, MC49206-4, MC49206-5, MC49206-6, MC49206-7, MC49206-8, MC49206-9, MC49206-10, MC49206-11, MC49206-12, MC49206-13

CAS No.	Compound	MC49206-4 ug/l	Spike Q	MS ug/l	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	52.6	JB	889	75	833	758	85	5	40-140/25
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	25.1	J	333	44	312	204	57	16	40-140/25
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND		444	78	417	496	119	36* a	40-140/25

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	MS	MSD	MC49206-4	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	76%	86%	88%	40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	90%	89%	90%	40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	44%	41%	61%	40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	90%	89%	88%	40-140%

(a) High RPD due to possible matrix interference and/or sample non-homogeneity.



* = Outside of Control Limits.



PAGE 1 OF 2

10 D'Angelo Dr., Building One, Marlborough, MA 01752
TEL: 508-481-6200 FAX: 508-481-7753
www.acmetest.com

[illegible]

5.1

MC49206: Chain of Custody
Page 1 of 4



PAGE 2 OF 2

30 D'Angelo Dr., Building One, Marlborough, MA 01752
TEL: 508-481-6200 FAX: 508-481-7753
www.artulog.com

[illegible]

5

1,5-1,2-1,5-26-48-

MC49206: Chain of Custody

Page 2 of 4

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No: **MC49206** Laboratory: **Accutest, Massachusetts**
Analysis: **MADEP VPH** Number of Samples: **15**
Location: **BMSMC, Building 5 Area**
Humacao, PR

SUMMARY: Fifth teen (15) samples were analyzed for Volatiles TPHC Ranges by method MADEP VPH. Samples were validated following the METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF VOLATILE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (VPH) quality control criteria, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues: **None**
Major: **None**
Minor: **None**

Critical findings: **None**
Major findings: **None**
Minor findings:

1. Continuing and final calibration verification meets method specific requirements except in the cases described in this document. The % difference for VPH in the rt5.5/7 retention time window in the continuing and ending calibration verification was outside the method performance criteria. Results are qualified as estimated in affected samples.

2. Target analytes detected in the method and field/equipment blanks. Laboratory qualified positive results below the reporting limit with a B qualifier. Sample results below the reporting limit are qualified as non-detects (U). Results above the reporting limits are retained.

3. MS/MSD % recovery within the laboratory control limits except for the cases described in the Data Review Worksheet. No action taken, recovery criteria apply to the unspiked sample. Unspiked sample from another job.

MS/MSD % recovery and RPD outside laboratory control limits in sample MC49206-4 for C9-C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.). No action taken; % recoveries outside control limits due to high level in sample relative to the amount spiked.

COMMENTS: Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name: Rafael Infante
Chemist License 1888

Signature:



Date: January 22, 2017

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: MC49206-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 12/15/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	20.7	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	9.1	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	10.7	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	20.4	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 12/15/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	41.0	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50.1	ug/L	1	B	-	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	27.6	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	29.3	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	21.9	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-3
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/15/2016
Matrix: AQ - Field Blank Water

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	10.5	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-4
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	35.2	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	7660	ug/L	10	-	-	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	59.2	ug/L	1	B	-	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	24.2	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	1890	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-5
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	13.9	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	47.4	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	24.7	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	13.9	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	21.7	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-6
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	12.4	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	20.7	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	13.9	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-7
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	12.0	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-8
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	9.9	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	9.4	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	11.1	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	9.4	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-9
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	8.9	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	13.6	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-10
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: AQ_ Field Blank Water

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	11.9	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-11
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/19/2016
Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	10.2	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-12
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/19/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	12.1	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-13
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/19/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	30.9	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	93.3	ug/L	1	B	-	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	23.8	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	24.2	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	26.2	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-4MS
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	375	ug/L	1	-	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	12400	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	166	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-4MSD
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	377	ug/L	1	-	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	11900	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	170	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Type of validation Full: ☒ Limited: _____
 Project Number: MC49206
 Date: 12/15-19/2016
 Shipping date: 12/19/2016
 EPA Region: 2

REVIEW OF VOLATILE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBON (VPHs) PACKAGE

The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics were created to delineate required validation actions. This document will assist the reviewer in using professional judgment to make more informed decision and in better serving the needs of the data users. The sample results were assessed according to the data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF VOLATILE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (VPH), Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

The hardcopied (laboratory name) Accutest Laboratories data package received has been reviewed and the quality control and performance data summarized. The data review for SVOCs included:

Lab. Project/SDG No.: MC49206 Sample matrix: Groundwater
 No. of Samples: 15
 Field blank No.: MC49206-3; MC49206-10
 Equipment blank No.: MC49206-9; MC49206-11
 Trip blank No.: -
 Field duplicate No.: -

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data Completeness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Laboratory Control Spikes
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Holding Times	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Field Duplicates
<input type="checkbox"/> GC/MS Tuning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Calibrations
<input type="checkbox"/> Internal Standard Performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Identifications
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Blanks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Quantitation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surrogate Recoveries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quantitation Limits
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	

Overall Comments:
 Volatiles by GC by Method MADEP_VPH_REV_1.1.

Definition of Qualifiers:

J- Estimated results
 U- Compound not detected
 R- Rejected data
 UJ- Estimated nondetect

Reviewer: Rafael Defaut
 Date: January 22, 2017

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met x
Criteria were not met and/or see below

I. DATA COMPLETNESS

A. Data Package:

MISSING INFORMATION

DATE LAB. CONTACTED

DATE RECEIVED

[illegible]

B. Other

Discrepancies:

1. *Introduction*
 2. *Background*
 3. *Methodology*
 4. *Results*
 5. *Discussion*
 6. *Conclusion*
 7. *References*
 8. *Appendix*
 9. *Glossary*
 10. *Index*
 11. *Table of Contents*
 12. *Figure 1*
 13. *Figure 2*
 14. *Figure 3*
 15. *Figure 4*
 16. *Figure 5*
 17. *Figure 6*
 18. *Figure 7*
 19. *Figure 8*
 20. *Figure 9*
 21. *Figure 10*
 22. *Figure 11*
 23. *Figure 12*
 24. *Figure 13*
 25. *Figure 14*
 26. *Figure 15*
 27. *Figure 16*
 28. *Figure 17*
 29. *Figure 18*
 30. *Figure 19*
 31. *Figure 20*
 32. *Figure 21*
 33. *Figure 22*
 34. *Figure 23*
 35. *Figure 24*
 36. *Figure 25*
 37. *Figure 26*
 38. *Figure 27*
 39. *Figure 28*
 40. *Figure 29*
 41. *Figure 30*
 42. *Figure 31*
 43. *Figure 32*
 44. *Figure 33*
 45. *Figure 34*
 46. *Figure 35*
 47. *Figure 36*
 48. *Figure 37*
 49. *Figure 38*
 50. *Figure 39*
 51. *Figure 40*
 52. *Figure 41*
 53. *Figure 42*
 54. *Figure 43*
 55. *Figure 44*
 56. *Figure 45*
 57. *Figure 46*
 58. *Figure 47*
 59. *Figure 48*
 60. *Figure 49*
 61. *Figure 50*
 62. *Figure 51*
 63. *Figure 52*
 64. *Figure 53*
 65. *Figure 54*
 66. *Figure 55*
 67. *Figure 56*
 68. *Figure 57*
 69. *Figure 58*
 70. *Figure 59*
 71. *Figure 60*
 72. *Figure 61*
 73. *Figure 62*
 74. *Figure 63*
 75. *Figure 64*
 76. *Figure 65*
 77. *Figure 66*
 78. *Figure 67*
 79. *Figure 68*
 80. *Figure 69*
 81. *Figure 70*
 82. *Figure 71*
 83. *Figure 72*
 84. *Figure 73*
 85. *Figure 74*
 86. *Figure 75*
 87. *Figure 76*
 88. *Figure 77*
 89. *Figure 78*
 90. *Figure 79*
 91. *Figure 80*
 92. *Figure 81*
 93. *Figure 82*
 94. *Figure 83*
 95. *Figure 84*
 96. *Figure 85*
 97. *Figure 86*
 98. *Figure 87*
 99. *Figure 88*
 100. *Figure 89*
 101. *Figure 90*
 102. *Figure 91*
 103. *Figure 92*
 104. *Figure 93*
 105. *Figure 94*
 106. *Figure 95*
 107. *Figure 96*
 108. *Figure 97*
 109. *Figure 98*
 110. *Figure 99*
 111. *Figure 100*
 112. *Figure 101*
 113. *Figure 102*
 114. *Figure 103*
 115. *Figure 104*
 116. *Figure 105*
 117. *Figure 106*
 118. *Figure 107*
 119. *Figure 108*
 120. *Figure 109*
 121. *Figure 110*
 122. *Figure 111*
 123. *Figure 112*
 124. *Figure 113*
 125. *Figure 114*
 126. *Figure 115*
 127. *Figure 116*
 128. *Figure 117*
 129. *Figure 118*
 130. *Figure 119*
 131. *Figure 120*
 132. *Figure 121*
 133. *Figure 122*
 134. *Figure 123*
 135. *Figure 124*
 136. *Figure 125*
 137. *Figure 126*
 138. *Figure 127*
 139. *Figure 128*
 140. *Figure 129*
 141. *Figure 130*
 142. *Figure 131*
 143. *Figure 132*
 144. *Figure 133*
 145. *Figure 134*
 146. *Figure 135*
 147. *Figure 136*
 148. *Figure 137*
 149. *Figure 138*
 150. *Figure 139*
 151. *Figure 140*
 152. *Figure 141*
 153. *Figure 142*
 154. *Figure 143*
 155. *Figure 144*
 156. *Figure 145*
 157. *Figure 146*
 158. *Figure 147*
 159. *Figure 148*
 160. *Figure 149*
 161. *Figure 150*
 162. *Figure 151*
 163. *Figure 152*
 164. *Figure 153*
 165. *Figure 154*
 166. *Figure 155*
 167. *Figure 156*
 168. *Figure 157*
 169. *Figure 158*
 170. *Figure 159*
 171. *Figure 160*
 172. *Figure 161*
 173. *Figure 162*
 174. *Figure 163*
 175. *Figure 164*
 176. *Figure 165*
 177. *Figure 166*
 178. *Figure 167*
 179. *Figure 168*
 180. *Figure 169*
 181. *Figure 170*
 182. *Figure 171*
 183. *Figure 172*
 184. *Figure 173*
 185. *Figure 174*
 186. *Figure 175*
 187. *Figure 176*
 188. *Figure 177*
 189. *Figure 178*
 190. *Figure 179*
 191. *Figure 180*
 192. *Figure 181*
 193. *Figure 182*
 194. *Figure 183*
 195. *Figure 184*
 196. *Figure 185*
 197. *Figure 186*
 198. *Figure 187*
 199. *Figure 188*
 200. *Figure 189*
 201. *Figure 190*
 202. *Figure 191*
 203. *Figure 192*
 204. *Figure 193*
 205. *Figure 194*
 206. *Figure 195*
 207. *Figure 196*
 208. *Figure 197*
 209. *Figure 198*
 210. *Figure 199*
 211. *Figure 200*
 212. *Figure 201*
 213. *Figure 202*
 214. *Figure 203*
 215. *Figure 204*
 216. *Figure 205*
 217. *Figure 206*
 218.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of extraction, and subsequently from the time of extraction to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED	DATE ANALYZED	ACTION
Samples analyzed within method recommended holding time. Sample preservation within the required criteria.				

Criteria

Preservation:

Samples analyzed with ambient purge temperature: Samples must be acidified to a pH of 2.0 or less at the time of collection.

Samples analyzed with heated purge temperature: Samples must be treated to a pH of 11.0 or greater at the time of collection.

Methanol preservation of soil/sediment samples is mandatory. Methanol (purge-and-trap grade) must be added to the sample vial before or immediately after sample collection. In lieu of the in-field preservation of samples with methanol, soil samples may be obtained in specially-designed air tight sampling devices, provided that the samples are extruded and preserved in methanol within 48 hours of collection.

Holding times:

Aqueous samples using ambient or heated purge - analyze within 14 days.

Soil/sediment samples - analysis within 28 days.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 ± 2 °C): 4.8°C

Actions: Qualify positive results/non-detects as follows:

If holding times are exceeded, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify data. The data reviewer may choose to estimate positive results (J) and rejects nondetects (R).

If samples were not at the proper temperature ($> 10^{\circ}\text{C}$) or improperly preserved, use professional judgment to qualify the results.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met and/or see below

CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration: 10/31/16

Dates of initial calibration verification: 10/31/16

Instrument ID numbers: GCWX

Matrix/Level: AQUEOUS/MEDIUM

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial and initial calibration verification meet method specific requirements				

Criteria- ICAL

- Five point calibration curve.
- The percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the analyte of interest. When this condition is met, linearity through the origin may be assumed, and the average calibration factor is used in lieu of a calibration curve.
- A collective calibration factor must also be established for each hydrocarbon range of interest. Calculate the collective CFs for C5-C8 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons and C9-C12 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons using the FID chromatogram. Calculate the collective CF for the C9-C10 Aromatic Hydrocarbons using the PID chromatogram. Tabulate the summation of the peak areas of all components in that fraction against the total concentration injected. The %RSD of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the hydrocarbon range of interest.

Criteria- CCAL

- At a minimum, the working calibration factor must be verified on each working day, after every 20 samples, and at the end of the analytical sequence by the injection of a mid-level continuing calibration standard to verify instrument performance and linearity.
- If the percent difference (%D) for any analyte varies from the predicted response by more than $\pm 25\%$, a new five-point calibration must be performed for that analyte. Greater percent differences are permissible for n-nonane. If the %D for n-nonane is greater than 30, note the nonconformance in the case narrative. It should be noted that the %Ds are calculated when CFs are used for the initial calibration and

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

percent drifts are calculated when calibration curves using linear regression are used for the initial calibration.

Actions:

If %RSD > 25% for target compounds or a correlation coefficient < 0.99, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects.

If % D > 25% (> 30 for nonane), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration: _____ 10/31/16 _____

Dates of continuing calibration verification: _____ 12/21/16; 12/22/16 _____

Dates of final calibration verification: _____ 10/31/16; 12/22/16; 12/23/16 _____

Instrument ID numbers: _____ GCWX _____

Matrix/Level: _____ AQUEOUS/MEDIUM _____

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	SAMPLES AFFECTED
12/21/16	cc3857-50	rt5.5/7	31.2 %	MC49206-1 to ; -15; -4MS/-4MSD
12/22/16	cc3857-50	rt5.5/7	28.3 %	
			28.9 %	
			28.9 %	
12/23/16	cc3857-50	rt5.5/7	31.8 %	

Note: Continuing and final calibration verification meets method specific requirements except in the cases described in this document. The % difference for VPH in the rt5.5/7 retention time window in the continuing and ending calibration verification outside the method performance criteria. Results are qualified as estimated in affected samples.

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEET

All criteria were met _____
Criteria were not met and/or see below X

V A. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data. A Laboratory Method Blank must be run after samples suspected of being highly contaminated to determine if sample carryover has occurred.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_METHOD BLANKS MEET THE METHOD SPECIFIC CRITERIA EXCEPT IN THE _____ _CASES DESCRIBED IN THIS DOCUMENT._____				
_12/21/16	GWX3884-MB	Aqueous/low	C9-C12_Aliphatics_(Unadj.)	11.4_ug/L
			C9-C10_Aromatics_(Unadj.)	12.2_ug/L
_12/22/16	GWX3885-MB	Aqueous/low	C9-C12_Aliphatics_(Unadj.)	12.9_ug/L
			C9-C10_Aromatics_(Unadj.)	12.8_ug/L

Note: Laboratory qualified positive results below the reporting limit with a B qualifier. Sample results below the reporting limits are qualified as non-detects (U); results above the reporting limits are retained.

Field/Trip/Equipment

A methanol trip blank or acidified reagent water trip blank **should** continually accompany each soil/sediment sample or water sample batch, respectively, during sampling, storage, and analysis.

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_NO TRIP BLANK ASSOCIATED WITH THIS DATA PACKAGE._____				
_ANALYTES DETECTED IN FIELD/EQUIPMENT BLANKS ANALYZED AT A _____ _CONCENTRATION BELOW THE REPORTING LIMITS EXCEPT FOR THE CASES _____ _DESCRIBED IN HIS DOCUMENT._____				
_12/23/16	MC49206-3	Aqueous/low	C9-C10_Aromatics_(Unadj.)	10._ug/L

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEET

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_12/21/16__	MC49206-9__	Aqueous/low__	C9-C12_Aliphatics_(Unadj.)	8.9_ug/L_____
			C9-C10_Aromatics_(Unadj.)	13.6_ug/L_____
_12/21/16__	MC49206-10__	Aqueous/low__	C9-C10_Aromatics_(Unadj.)	11.9_ug/L_____
_12/21/16__	MC49206-11__	Aqueous/low__	C9-C10_Aromatics_(Unadj.)	10.2_ug/L_____

Note: Sample results below the reporting limits are qualified as non-detects (U); results above the reporting limits are retained.

V B. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. Peaks must not be detected above the Reporting Limit within the retention time window of any analyte of interest. The hydrocarbon ranges must not be detected at a concentration greater than 10% of the most stringent MCP cleanup standard. Specific actions area as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is \geq SQL but < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

Matrix: solid/aqueous

SAMPLE ID	SURROGATE COMPOUND	ACTION
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	

 SURROGATE_STANDARD_RECOVERIES_WITHIN_LABORATORY_CONTROL
 LIMITS

QC Limits* (Aqueous)

 LL to UL 70 to 130 to to

QC Limits* (Solid)

 LL to UL to to to

It is recommended that surrogate standard recoveries be monitored and documented on a continuing basis. At a minimum, when surrogate recovery from a sample, blank, or QC sample is less than 70% or more than 130%, check calculations to locate possible errors, check the fortifying standard solution for degradation, and check changes in instrument performance.

If the cause cannot be determined, reanalyze the sample unless one of the following exceptions applies:

- (1) Obvious interference is present on the chromatogram (e.g., unresolved complex mixture);
- (2) Percent moisture of associated soil/sediment sample is >25% and surrogate recovery is >10%; or
- (3) The surrogate exhibits high recovery and associated target analytes or hydrocarbon ranges are not detected in sample.

If a sample with a surrogate recovery outside of the acceptable range is not reanalyzed based on any of these aforementioned exceptions, this information must be noted on the data report form and discussed in the Executive Report. Analysis of the sample on dilution may diminish matrix-related surrogate recovery problems. This approach can be used as long as the reporting limits to evaluate applicable MCP standards can still be achieved with the dilution. If not, reanalysis without dilution must be performed.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples.

At the request of the data user, and in consideration of sample matrices and data quality objectives, matrix spikes and matrix duplicates may be analyzed with every batch of 20 samples or less per matrix.

- **Matrix duplicate** - Matrix duplicates are prepared by analyzing one sample in duplicate. The purpose of the matrix duplicates is to determine the homogeneity of the sample matrix as well as analytical precision. The RPD of detected results in the matrix duplicate samples must not exceed 50 when the results are greater than 5x the reporting limit.
- The desired spiking level is 50% of the highest calibration standard. However, the total concentration in the MS (including the MS and native concentration in the unspiked sample) should not exceed 75% of the highest calibration standard in order for a proper evaluation to be performed. The purpose of the matrix spike is to determine whether the sample matrix contributes bias to the analytical results. The corrected concentrations of each analyte within the matrix spiking solution must be within 70 - 130% of the true value. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible (if included in the calibration of the C9-C12 aliphatic range), but must be noted in the narrative if <30%.

MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

Sample ID: MC49206-4_MS/MSD Matrix/Level: Groundwater

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the QC criteria.

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1

MC49206-1, MC49206-2, MC49206-3, MC49206-4, MC49206-5, MC49206-6, MC49206-7, MC49206-8, MC49206-13

Compound	MC49206-4 ug/l	Q	Spike ug/l	MS ug/l	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
C9-C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	7660	b	450	12400	1180* a	450	11900	1590* a	4	70-130/25

(a) Outside control limits due to high level in sample relative to spike amount.

(b) Result is from Run #2.

Note: MS/MSD % recovery and RPD within laboratory control limits except for the cases described in this document. No action taken; % recoveries outside control limits due to high level in sample relative to the amount spiked.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Sample ID: MC49195-2_MS/MSD

Matrix/Level: Groundwater

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:
MC49206-9, MC49206-10, MC49206-11, MC49206-12

Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1

Compound	MC49195-2 ug/l	Q	Spike ug/l	MS ug/l	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
C9-C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	15.4		150	116	67* a	150	117	68* a	1	70-130/25

(a) Outside control limits due to possible matrix interference.

* = Outside of Control Limits.

Note: MS/MSD % recovery outside the laboratory control limits. No action taken, recovery criteria apply to the unspiked sample. Unspiked sample from another job.

No action is taken on MS/MSD results alone to qualify the entire case. However, used informed professional judgment, the data reviewer may use the MS/MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data. In those instances where it can be determined that the results of the MS/MSD affect only the sample spiked, the qualification should be limited to this sample alone. However, it may be determined through the MS/MSD results that the laboratory is having a systematic problem in the analysis of one or more analytes, which affects the associated samples.

2. MS/MSD – Unspiked Compounds

List the concentrations of the unspiked compounds and determine the % RSDs of these compounds in the unspiked sample, matrix spike, and matrix spike duplicate.

COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION		MS	MSD	%RPD	ACTION
	SAMPLE					

Criteria: None specified, use %RSD \leq 50 as professional judgment.

Actions:

If the % RSD > 50, qualify the results in the spiked sample as estimate (J).

If the % RSD is not calculable (NC) due to nondetect value in the sample, MS, and/or MSD, use professional judgment to qualify sample data.

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

VIII. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS/LCSD) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT	ACTION
<u> LCS_RECOVERY_WITHIN_LABORATORY_CONTROL_LIMITS </u>				

Criteria:

- * Refer to QAPP for specific criteria.
- * The spike recovery must be between 70% and 130%. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible (if included in the calibration of the C9-C12 aliphatic range). If the recovery of n-nonane is <30%, note the nonconformance in the executive narrative.

Actions:

Actions on LCS recovery should be based on both the number of compounds that are outside the %R criteria and the magnitude of the exceedance of the criteria.

If the %R of the analyte is > UL, qualify all positive results (j) for the affected analyte in the associated samples and accept nondetects.

If the %R of the analyte is < LL, qualify all positive results (j) and reject (R) nondetects for the affected analyte in the associated samples.

If more than half the compounds in the LCS are not within the required recovery criteria, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R) for all target analyte(s) in the associated samples.

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix (1 per 20 samples per matrix)? Yes or No.

If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected. Discuss the actions below:

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met N/A
Criteria were not met and/or see below

IX. FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION

Sample IDs: -

Matrix: -

Field/laboratory duplicate samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which measures only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
No field/laboratory duplicate analyzed with this data package. MS/MSD % recovery RPD used to assess precision. RPD within laboratory and validation guidance document criteria (+ 50 %) for analytes detected above reporting limits.					

Criteria:

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.
RPD \pm 30% for aqueous samples, RPD \pm 50 % for solid samples if results are \geq SQL.
If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

SQL = soil quantitation limit

Actions:

If both the sample and the duplicate results are nondetects (ND), the RPD is not calculable (NC). No action is needed.

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria.

If one sample result is not detected and the other is $\geq 5x$ the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

Note: If SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is $< 5x$ the SQL, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

XI. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The compound identification evaluation is to verify that the laboratory correctly identified target analytes as well as tentatively identified compounds (TICs).

1. Verify that the target analytes were within the retention time windows.
 - Retention time windows must be re-established for each Target VPH Analyte each time a new GC column is installed, and must be verified and/or adjusted on a daily basis.
 - Coelution of the m- and p- xylene isomers is permissible.
 - All surrogates must be adequately resolved from individual Target Analytes included in the VPH Component Standard.
 - For the purposes of this method, adequate resolution is assumed to be achieved if the height of the valley between two peaks is less than 25% of the average height of the two peaks.
 - The n-pentane (C5) and MtBE peaks must be adequately resolved from any solvent front that may be present on the FID and PID chromatograms, respectively.

Note: Target analytes were within the retention time window.

2. If target analytes and/or TICs were not correctly identified, request that the laboratory resubmit the corrected data.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS AND SAMPLE RESULTS

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results.

1. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

MC49206-1 VPH (C9 – C12 Aliphatics) RF = 2.125×10^4

FID

$$[\] = (223682) / (2.125 \times 10^4)$$

$$[\] = 10.53 \text{ ppb} \quad \text{Ok}$$

MC49206-1 VPH (C9 – C10 Aromatics) RF = 7.865×10^3

PID

$$[\] = (84202) / (7.865 \times 10^3)$$

$$[\] = 10.71 \text{ ppb} \quad \text{Ok}$$

2. If requested, verify that the results were above the laboratory method detection limit (MDLs).
3. If dilutions performed, were the SQLs elevated accordingly by the laboratory? List the affected samples and dilution factor in the table below.

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
MC49206-4	10 x	C9 – C12 aliphatics over the calibration range.

If dilution was not performed and the results were above the concentration range, estimate results (J) for the affected compounds. List the affected samples/compounds:

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No: **MC49206** Laboratory: **Accutest, Massachusetts**
Analysis: **MADEP EPH** Number of Samples: **15**
Location: **BMSMC, Building 5 Area**
Humacao, PR

SUMMARY: Fifteen (15) samples were analyzed for Extractable TPHC Ranges by method MADEP EPH. Samples were validated following the METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPH) quality control criteria, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues: **None**

Major: **None**

Minor: **None**

Critical findings: **None**


Major findings: **None**

Minor findings:

1. Continuing and final calibration verification meets method specific requirements except in the cases described in this document. The % difference for EPH in the C11-C22 (Aromatics) retention time window in the continuing and ending calibration verification was outside the method performance criteria. Results are qualified as estimated in affected samples.
2. Target analytes detected in the method blank at a concentration below the reporting limits. Laboratory qualified the positive results with a B qualifier. Target analytes detected below the reporting limits are qualified as non-detects (U). Sample results above the reporting limits are retained.
3. Target analytes detected in the field/equipment blank at a concentration below the reporting limits. Target analytes found in corresponding method blank. Laboratory qualified the positive results with a B qualifier. Target analytes detected below the reporting limits are qualified as non-detects (U). Sample results above the reporting limits are retained.
4. C9-C18 aliphatics LCS/LCS % recovery RPD within laboratory control limits. Recovery of n-nonane was <30% for the laboratory control sample. No action taken, professional judgment.
5. MS/MSD and RPD within laboratory control limits except for the cases described in the Data Review Worksheet. No action taken, professional judgment. No qualification made based on RPD results.

COMMENTS: Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name: Rafael Infante
Chemist License 1888

Signature: 
Date: January 22, 2017

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: MC49206-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 12/15/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	47.6	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics	110	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	110	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	47.6	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 12/15/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	55.3	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics	110	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	110	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	53.5	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-3
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/15/2016
Matrix: AQ - Field Blank Water
METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	41.2	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics	110	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	110	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	41.2	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-4
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: Groundwater
METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	52.6	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics	25.1	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	110	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	48.9	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-5
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: Groundwater
METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	51.6	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics	110	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	110	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	39.5	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-6
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: Groundwater
METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	59.1	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics	18.8	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	110	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	58.7	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-7
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: Groundwater
METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	41.3	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	41.3	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-8
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: Groundwater
METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	41.0	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	41.0	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-9
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank
METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	42.7	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics	110	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	110	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	427	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-10
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: AQ - Field Blank Water
METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	42.2	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	42.2	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-11
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/19/2016
Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank
METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	41.8	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	41.8	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-12
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/19/2016
Matrix: Groundwater
METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	45.0	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics	110	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	110	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	45.0	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-13
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/14/2016
Matrix: Groundwater
METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	60.7	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes
Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	58.6	ug/L	1	JB	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-4MS
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	889	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics	333	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	444	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes

Sample ID: MC49206-4MSD
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area
Sampling date: 12/16/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	758	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics	204	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	496	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Type of validation Full: ☒ _____
 Limited: _____
 Project Number: MC49206
 Date: 12/15-19/2016
 Shipping date: 12/19/2016
 EPA Region: 2

REVIEW OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBON (EPHs) PACKAGE

The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics were created to delineate required validation actions. This document will assist the reviewer in using professional judgment to make more informed decision and in better serving the needs of the data users. The sample results were assessed according to the data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (VPH), Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

The hardcopied (laboratory name) Accutest Laboratories data package received has been reviewed and the quality control and performance data summarized. The data review for SVOCs included:

Lab. Project/SDG No.: MC49206 Sample matrix: Groundwater
 No. of Samples: 15
 Field blank No.: MC49206-3; MC49206-10
 Equipment blank No.: MC49206-9; MC49206-11
 Trip blank No.: -
 Field duplicate No.: -

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data Completeness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Laboratory Control Spikes
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Holding Times	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Field Duplicates
<input type="checkbox"/> GC/MS Tuning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Calibrations
<input type="checkbox"/> Internal Standard Performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Identifications
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Blanks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Quantitation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surrogate Recoveries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quantitation Limits
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	

Overall Comments:
_Extractable_Petroleum_Hydrocarbons_by_GC_by_Method_MADEP_EPH,_REV_1.1.

Definition of Qualifiers:

J- Estimated results
 U- Compound not detected
 R- Rejected data
 UJ- Estimated nondetect

Reviewer: Rafael Infante
 Date: January 22, 2017

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met x
Criteria were not met and/or see below

I. DATA COMPLETNESS

A. Data Package:

MISSING INFORMATION

DATE LAB. CONTACTED

DATE RECEIVED

[illegible]

B. Other

Discrepancies:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are no margins or other markings visible.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of extraction, and subsequently from the time of extraction to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED	DATE ANALYZED	ACTION
Samples extracted and analyzed within method recommended holding time				

Criteria

Preservation:

Aqueous samples must be acidified to a pH of 2.0 or less at the time of collection.

Soil samples must be cooled at 4 ± 2 °C immediately after collection.

Holding times:

Samples must be extracted within 14 days of collection, and analyzed within 40 days of extraction.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 ± 2 °C): 4.8°C

Actions: Qualify positive results/nondetects as follows:

If holding times are exceeded, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify data. The data reviewer may choose to estimate positive results (J) and rejects nondetects (R).

If samples were not at the proper temperature ($> 10^{\circ}\text{C}$) or improperly preserved, use professional judgment to qualify the results.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration: 12/06/16

Dates of initial calibration verification: 12/06/16

Instrument ID numbers: GCDE

Matrix/Level: AQUEOUS/MEDIUM

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial and continuing calibration meet method specific requirements				

Criteria- ICAL

- Five point calibration curve.
- The percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the analyte of interest. When this condition is met, linearity through the origin may be assumed, and the average calibration factor is used in lieu of a calibration curve.
- A collective calibration factor must also be established for each hydrocarbon range of interest. Calculate the collective CFs for C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, C19-C36 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, and C11-C22 Aromatic Hydrocarbons using the FID chromatogram. Tabulate the summation of the peak areas of all components in that fraction against the total concentration injected. The %RSD of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the hydrocarbon range of interest.
 - The area for the surrogates must be subtracted from the area summation of the range in which they elute.
 - The areas associated with naphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene in the aliphatic range standard must be subtracted from the uncorrected collective C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbon range area prior to calculating the CF.

Criteria- CCAL

- At a minimum, the working calibration factor must be verified on each working day, after every 20 samples or every 24 hours (whichever is more frequent), and

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

at the end of the analytical sequence by the injection of a mid-level continuing calibration standard to verify instrument performance and linearity.

- If the percent difference (%D) for any analyte varies from the predicted response by more than $\pm 25\%$, a new five-point calibration must be performed for that analyte. Greater percent differences are permissible for n-nonane. If the %D for n-nonane is greater than 30, note the nonconformance in the case narrative. It should be noted that the %Ds are calculated when CFs are used for the initial calibration and percent drifts are calculated when calibration curves using linear regression are used for the initial calibration.

Actions:

If %RSD > 25% for target compounds or a correlation coefficient < 0.99, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects.

If % D > 25% (> 30 for nonane), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration: _____ 12/06/16 _____

Dates of continuing calibration verification: ____ 01/03/17 _____

Dates of final calibration verification: _12/06/16;_01/04/17 _____

Instrument ID numbers: _____ GCDE _____

Matrix/Level: _SOIL/AQUEOUS/MEDIUM _____

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, <u>%D</u> , r	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial and continuing calibration meets method specific requirements.				

Note:

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEET

All criteria were met _____
Criteria were not met and/or see below X

V A. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data. A Laboratory Method Blank must be run after samples suspected of being highly contaminated to determine if sample carryover has occurred.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
METHOD BLANKS MEET THE METHOD SPECIFIC CRITERIA EXCEPT FOR THE CASES DESCRIBED IN THIS DOCUMENT.				
01/04/17	_OP49337-MB_	_AQ/LOW_	_C11-C22_Aromatics_(Unadj.)_	_33.8_ug/l_

Note: The laboratory qualified positive results for affected sample with a B. Results below the reporting limit are qualified as non-detects (U). Results above the reporting limit are retained.

Field/Trip/Equipment

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
NO TARGET ANALYTES DETECTED IN THE FIELD/EQUIPMENT BLANK ANALYZED FOR THIS DATA PACKAGE EXCEPT FOR THE CASES DESCRIBED IN THIS DOCUMENT.				
01/04/17	_MC49206-3_	_AQ/LOW_	_C11-C22_Aromatics_(Unadj.)_	_41.2_ug/l_
01/04/17	_MC49206-9_	_AQ/LOW_	_C11-C22_Aromatics_(Unadj.)_	_42.7_ug/l_
01/04/17	_MC49206-10_	_AQ/LOW_	_C11-C22_Aromatics_(Unadj.)_	_42.2_ug/l_
01/04/17	_MC49206-11_	_AQ/LOW_	_C11-C22_Aromatics_(Unadj.)_	_41.8_ug/l_

Note: Detected analyte found in laboratory method blank. The laboratory qualified positive results for affected sample with a B. Results below the reporting limit are qualified as non-detects (U). Results above the reporting limit are retained.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

V B. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. Peaks must not be detected above the Reporting Limit within the retention time window of any analyte of interest. The hydrocarbon ranges must not be detected at a concentration greater than 10% of the most stringent MCP cleanup standard. Specific actions area as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is \geq SQL but < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

Criteria were not met and/or see below

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

Matrix: solid/aqueous

SAMPLE ID	SURROGATE COMPOUND				ACTION
	S1	S2	S3	S4	
_SURROGATE_STANDARDS_RECOVERIES_WITHIN_LABORATORY_CONTROL_LIMITS_.					

S1 = o-Terphenyl 40-140%
S2 = 2-Fluorobiphenyl 40-140%
S3 = 1-Chlorooctadecane 40-140%
S4 = 2-Bromonaphthalene 40-140%

LL to UL	40 to 140	40 to 140	40 to 140	40 to 140
----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------

LL to UL to to to to

If the cause cannot be determined, reanalyze the sample unless one of the following exceptions applies:

- If a sample with a surrogate recovery outside of the acceptable range is not reanalyzed based on any of these aforementioned exceptions, this information must be noted on the data report form and discussed in the Executive Report. Analysis of the sample on dilution may diminish matrix-related surrogate recovery problems. This approach can be used as long as the reporting limits to evaluate applicable MCP standards can still be achieved with the dilution. If not, reanalysis without dilution must be performed.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met and/or see below _____

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples.

At the request of the data user, and in consideration of sample matrices and data quality objectives, matrix spikes and matrix duplicates may be analyzed with every batch of 20 samples or less per matrix.

- **Matrix duplicate** - Matrix duplicates are prepared by analyzing one sample in duplicate. The purpose of the matrix duplicates is to determine the homogeneity of the sample matrix as well as analytical precision. The RPD of detected results in the matrix duplicate samples must not exceed 50 when the results are greater than 5x the reporting limit.
- The desired spiking level is 50% of the highest calibration standard. However, the total concentration in the MS (including the MS and native concentration in the unspiked sample) should not exceed 75% of the highest calibration standard in order for a proper evaluation to be performed. The purpose of the matrix spike is to determine whether the sample matrix contributes bias to the analytical results. The corrected concentrations of each analyte within the matrix spiking solution must be within 40 - 140% of the true value. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible but must be noted in the narrative if <30%.

MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

Sample ID: MC49206-4_MS/MSD Matrix/Level: Groundwater

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the QC criteria.

MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
<u>_MS/MSD</u>	<u>C19 - C39</u>	<u>36_%</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>No_action</u>	

Note: MS/MSD and RPD within laboratory control limits except for the cases described in this document. No action taken, professional judgment. No qualification made based on RPD results.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met and/or see below

No action is taken on MS/MSD results alone to qualify the entire case. However, used informed professional judgment, the data reviewer may use the MS/MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data. In those instances where it can be determined that the results of the MS/MSD affect only the sample spiked, the qualification should be limited to this sample alone. However, it may be determined through the MS/MSD results that the laboratory is having a systematic problem in the analysis of one or more analytes, which affects the associated samples.

2. MS/MSD – Unspiked Compounds

List the concentrations of the unspiked compounds and determine the % RSDs of these compounds in the unspiked sample, matrix spike, and matrix spike duplicate.

COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION		MSD	%RPD	ACTION
	SAMPLE	MS			

Criteria: None specified, use %RSD \leq 50 as professional judgment.

Actions:

If the % RSD > 50, qualify the results in the spiked sample as estimate (J).

If the % RSD is not calculable (NC) due to nondetect value in the sample, MS, and/or MSD, use professional judgment to qualify sample data.

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

VIII. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS/LCSD) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT	ACTION
--------	----------	-----	----------	--------

LCS/LCSD_RECOVERY_WITHIN_LABORATORY_CONTROL_LIMITS_EXCET_FOR_
THE_CASES_DESCRIBED_IN_THIS_DOCUMENT.

Note: C9-C18 aliphatics LCS/LCS % recovery RPD within laboratory control limits. Recovery of n-nonane was <30% for the laboratory control simple. No action taken, professional judgment.

Criteria:

- * Refer to QAPP for specific criteria.
- * The spike recovery must be between 40% and 140%. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible. If the recovery of n-nonane is <30%, note the nonconformance in the executive narrative. RPD between LCS/LCSD must be < 25%.

Actions:

Actions on LCS recovery should be based on both the number of compounds that are outside the %R and RPD criteria and the magnitude of the exceedance of the criteria.

If the %R of the analyte is > UL, qualify all positive results (j) for the affected analyte in the associated samples and accept nondetects.

If the %R of the analyte is < LL, qualify all positive results (j) and reject (R) nondetects for the affected analyte in the associated samples.

If more than half the compounds in the LCS are not within the required recovery criteria, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R) for all target analyte(s) in the associated samples.

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix (1 per 20 samples per matrix)? Yes or No.

If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected. Discuss the actions below:

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met N/A
Criteria were not met and/or see below

IX. FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION

Sample IDs: - Matrix: -

Field/laboratory duplicate samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which measures only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
No field/laboratory duplicate analyzed with this data package. MS/MSD % recovery RPD used to assess precision. RPD within laboratory and generally acceptable control limits except for the cases described in this document.					

Criteria:

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.
RPD \pm 30% for aqueous samples, RPD \pm 50 % for solid samples if results are \geq SQL.
If both samples and duplicate are < 5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

SQL = soil quantitation limit

Actions:

If both the sample and the duplicate results are nondetects (ND), the RPD is not calculable (NC). No action is needed.

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria.

If one sample result is not detected and the other is ≥ 5 x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

Note: If SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is < 5 x the SQL, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

XI. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The compound identification evaluation is to verify that the laboratory correctly identified target analytes as well as tentatively identified compounds (TICs).

1. Verify that the target analytes were within the retention time windows.
 - Retention time windows must be re-established for each Target EPH Analyte each time a new GC column is installed, and must be verified and/or adjusted on a daily basis.
 - The n-nonane (n-C9) peak must be adequately resolved from the solvent front of the chromatographic run.
 - All surrogates must be adequately resolved from the Aliphatic Hydrocarbon and Aromatic Hydrocarbon standards.
 - For the purposes of this method, adequate resolution is assumed to be achieved if the height of the valley between two peaks is less than 25% of the average height of the two peaks.
 - The n-pentane (C5) and MtBE peaks must be adequately resolved from any solvent front that may be present on the FID and PID chromatograms, respectively.

- 1a. Aliphatic hydrocarbons range:
 - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for n-C9 and 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19.
 - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19 and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for n-C36.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined? Yes? or No?

Comments:

- 1b. Aromatic hydrocarbons range:
 - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for naphthalene and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for benzo(g,h,i)perylene.
 - Determine the peak area count for the sample surrogate (OTP) and fractionation surrogate(s). Subtract these values from the collective area count value.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined? Yes? or No?

Comments:

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

2. If target analytes and/or TICs were not correctly identified, request that the laboratory resubmit the corrected data.
3. Breakthrough determination - Each sample (field and QC sample) must be evaluated for potential breakthrough on a sample specific basis by evaluating the % recovery of the fractionation surrogate (2-bromonaphthalene) and on a batch basis by quantifying naphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene in both the aliphatic and aromatic fractions of the LCS and LCSD. **If either the concentration of naphthalene or 2-methylnaphthalene in the aliphatic fraction exceeds 5% of the total concentration for naphthalene or 2-methylnaphthalene in the LCS or LCSD, fractionation must be repeated on all archived batch extracts.**

NOTE: The total concentration of naphthalene or 2-methylnaphthalene in the LCS/LCSD pair includes the summation of the concentration detected in the aliphatic fraction and the concentration detected in the aromatic fraction.

Comments: Concentration in the aliphatic fraction < 5% of the total
concentration for naphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene

4. **Fractionation Check Standard** – A fractionation check solution is prepared containing 14 alkanes and 17 PAHs at a nominal concentration of 200 ng/μl of each constituent. The Fractionation Check Solution must be used to evaluate the fractionation efficiency of each new lot of silica gel/cartridges, and establish the optimum hexane volume required to efficiently elute aliphatic hydrocarbons while not allowing significant aromatic hydrocarbon breakthrough. For each analyte contained in the fractionation check solution, excluding n-nonane, the Percent Recovery must be between 40 and 140%. A 30% Recovery is acceptable for n-nonane.

Is a fractionation check standard analyzed?

Yes? or No?

Comments: Not applicable.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS AND SAMPLE RESULTS

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results.

In order to demonstrate the absence of aliphatic mass discrimination, the response ratio of C28 to C20 must be at least 0.85. If <0.85, this nonconformance must be noted in the laboratory case narrative.

The chromatograms of Continuing Calibration Standards for aromatics must be reviewed to ensure that there are no obvious signs of mass discrimination.

Is aliphatic mass discrimination observed in the sample? Yes? or No?

Is aromatic mass discrimination observed in the sample? Yes? or No?

1. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

MC49206-1 EPH (C11 – C22, Aromatics) RF = 99940

[] = (2282092)/(99940)

[] = 22.83 ppb Ok

 EPH (C19 - C36, Aliphatics) RF = 67800

[] = (673969)/(67800)

[] = 9.94 ppb Ok

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

2. If requested, verify that the results were above the laboratory method detection limit (MDLs).
3. If dilutions performed, were the SQLs elevated accordingly by the laboratory? List the affected samples and dilution factor in the table below.

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION

If dilution was not performed, estimate results (J) for the affected compounds. List the affected samples/compounds:
